

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,524	09/29/2006	Hans Naegerl	20802/0205146-US0	1315
7290 94/28/2909 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			EXAMINER	
			YANG, ANDREW	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ricw rolk, ivi	10000-0770		3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/599 524 NAEGERL, HANS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANDREW YANG 3775 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 15.18.19.21 and 25-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15.18.19.21 and 25-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not(SyMail Date. 2) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not(SyMail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Potent Application—Paper Not Symany (PTO-413) Paper Not Symany (PTO-413

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/19/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 35′(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 15, 18, 19, 21, 25-27, and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuras (U.S. Patent No. 7169181).

Kuras discloses an artificial intervertebral disc 10 having two outer elements 20, 40, and an polymeric intermediate member 60. The intermediate member 60 is annular Art Unit: 3775

and joins the outer elements 20, 40 in a restricted articulated manner. The two outer elements have a concave contour on the inner surface and are joined in a former fitting manner to the intermediate member 60. Furthermore, the contour is a recess and is oversized relative to the intermediate element 60 (Figure 8). The outer elements further include anchoring elements in the form of pins 126. The intermediate member 60 maybe adhered to the outer elements 20, 40 (column 3, lines 15-17). Thus it can be considered that the adherence material will create a texture on the inner contour of the outer elements that increases friction so as to create a fictional connection between the two outer elements and the intermediate element. The intermediate member 60 has an annular central axis and has an oval cross-section crosswise to the annular central axis as seen below. The annular central axis is considered to be the axis that extends through the hole formed by the intermediate member and is perpendicular to the plane that the other elements 20, 40 lie in. A crosswise section taken of the intermediate member would be along a plane parallel to the annular central axis. It can be construed from figured 6 that such a cross-section would be oval. The oval cross section naturally has portion that is wider in one plane than in another plane. The cross section taken along the annular central axis can is differing since cross sections taken in a direction parallel to the annular central axis will have different sizes at different sections of the intermediate element. The outer surfaces are coated with a biocompatible material containing titanium (Column 4, Lines 48-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/599,524 Page 4

Art Unit: 3775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuras (U.S. Patent No. 7169181).

Kuras discloses the claimed invention except for the polymer of the intermediate member being polyethylene. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the intermediate member of polyethylene since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments regarding Kuras failing to disclose an oval cross-section cross wise to an annular axis have been addressed in the rejection above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW YANG whose telephone number is (571)272-3472. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Barrett can be reached on (571)272-4746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Yang/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775 /Thomas C. Barrett/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775